



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,365	10/09/2001	James F. McGuckin JR.	1204	5931
7590 01/23/2004			EXAMINER	
Neil D. Gershon			NGUYEN, VI X	
Rex Medical			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 2			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
2023 Summer St.			3731	
Stamford, CT	06905		DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004	, 7'

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_	$\subseteq^{\mathcal{N}}$			
• •		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/973,365	MCGUCKIN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Victor X Nguyen	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replement or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting the period of the period of the period for reply will, by statuting the period of the period for reply will, by statuting the period of the period for reply will, by statuting the period of the period for reply will, by statuting the period of the period for reply will, by statuting the period for reply will be period for re	136(a). In no event, however, many within the statutory minimum could will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 l	<u>December 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,8,9,13,16-19 and 23-28 is/are penda of the above claim(s) is/are withdra claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,8,9,13,16-19 and 23-28 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
•	ion Papers	·					
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	cepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or awing(s) be held in about or a ction is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attac	thed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
12) □ a) 13) ⊠ a 13) ⊠ a 14) □ a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first certain for domes and the company of the foreign language part of the fo	nts have been received. Its have been received only documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies it copies is sentence of the spectrovisional application has to priority under 35 U.S.	in Application No een received in this National Stage not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application cification or in an Application Data Shee as been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	et.			
2) Noti	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/973,365 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 2-7, 10-12, 14-15 and 20-22 drawn to non-elected inventions. In Paper No. 8, dated 12/10/2003, applicant elected to prosecute the species of Figs 18-21B. However, it would appear that claims 1, 8-9, 13, 16-19 and 23-28 relate to Species of figs 18-20. Claims 2-7, 10-12, 14-15 and 20-22 do not read upon the elected species.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made Final.

The status of claims 2-7, 10-12, 14-15 and 20-22 have been canceled in Paper No. 8 of the remarks section. However in the last response, applicant stated that claims 2-7, 10-12, 14-15 and 20-22 were withdrawn. Clarification is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 16-17 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 16 recites "wherein the main and branch portions include a longitudinally extending spine--) is unclear. It should be changed to "wherein the main and branch portions each include a longitudinally extending spine—".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/973,365

Art Unit: 3731

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 8-9, 13, 16, 18-19, 23-24 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Penn et al (U.S. 5,906,640).

Penn et al disclose in figs 15-18, a system having all the limitations of claims 1, 8-9, 13 and 19, including: a stent portion (100) has a main portion (103) and a branch portion (105). The main portion has an intermediate portion (107). The first (108) and second stents (see col. 4, lines 12-60) are positioned within the main and branch portions, so the main and branch portions expand upon expansion of their respective stents. The branch portion is integral with the main portion (103).

Regarding claims 16, 18 and 28, Penn et al disclose the main portion (103) and a branch portion (105) include an extending spine (111) and a plurality of ribs (figs 11 and 18) extending from the spine.

Regarding claims 23-24, Penn et al disclose the first and second stents are formed from a laser cut tube (see col. 5, lines 15-25).

Claims 1, 8, 16-19, 26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Wilson et al (U.S.6,264,682).

having all the limitations of claims 1, 8, 16-19, 26 and 28, including: a stent portion (10) has a

Application/Control Number: 09/973,365

Art Unit: 3731

main portion (5) and a branch portion. The main portion has an intermediate portion (see col. 18, lines 1-14). The first (5) and second stents (20) are positioned within the main and branch portions, so the main and branch portions expand upon expansion of their respective stents. The branch portion is integral with the main portion (5).

Regarding claims 16, 18 and 28, Wilson et al disclose the main portion (5) and a branch portion include an extending spine and a plurality of ribs (fig. 14) extending from the spine.

Regarding claims 17 and 26-27, Wilson et al disclose the ribs terminate at first and the second tips that interleave with first and second tips of adjacent ribs (see fig. 14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Wilson et al (6,264,682)

Regarding claims 25-26, Wilson et al disclose substantially limitations as recited in the claim, except for each of the ribs forms a c-shape. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the ribs of Wilson et al device with the ribs form a c-shape, since applicant has not disclosed that doing so which solves any stated problem or is anything more than one of numerous shapes or configurations a

Application/Control Number: 09/973,365 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

person ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of providing with a different ribs structure. In re Dailey and Eilers, 149 USPQ 47 (1966).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Pat. No. 4,994,071 to MacGregor

U.S. Pat. No. 6,409,750 to Hyodoh

U.S. Pat. No. 6,514,281 to Blaeser

U.S. Pat. No.5,632,771 to Boatman

U.S. Pat. No. 5,669,924 to Shaknovich

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

Vn **V***\nu*January 02, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700